

## 2019 Performance Analysis Scoring Key for CoC Projects

Indicators	Source of Data	PH/Bridge RRH	RRH Fam & Y	TH Weight	SH Weight	CES/SO Weight	Scale				
							5	4	3	2	1
<b>PROJECT PERFORMANCE - Project Contribution to System Performance</b> (Unless otherwise indicated, performance for the time period July 1, 2018 - June 30, 2019)											
<b>1 Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless</b>											
PSH/RRH households obtain housing an average of 30 days from program entry. (average start date to move-in date APR #22c)	HMIS APR*	10	10				30 days or less	31 - 45 days	46 - 60 days	61 - 90 days	More than 90 days
SH exits to permanent housing destination an average of 90 days from program entry. (APR 22b leavers)					10		90 days or less	91-120 days	121-150 days	151-180 days	More than 180 days
TH households exit to permanent housing destination in an average of 30 days from program entry. (APR22b leavers)				10			30 days or less	31 - 45 days	46 - 60 days	61 - 90 days	More than 90 days
CES and SO Programs navigate clients to a permanent housing referral within an average of 30 days from assessment.	MasterList					10	30 days or less	31 - 60 days	61 - 90 days	91 - 120 days	More than 120 days
<b>2 Returns to Homelessness - Of exits to PH between 7/1//2016 - 06/30/2018, the % returning to homelessness within 24 months.</b>											
PSH and RRH Bridge Programs	HMIS Report*	5					12% or less	13-18%	19-23%	24-28%	29% or more
RRH Families & Youth/TH/SH Programs			10	10	10		10% or less	10-14%	15-19%	20-24%	25% or more
CES and SO Programs						5	20% or less	21 - 25%	26 - 30%	31 - 35%	36% or more
<b>3 Reducing the Total Number of Homeless Persons</b>											
PH/RRH/TH/SH Programs % Exits to homeless situations. (APR 23a and 23b exits to ES, Place not meant for habitation, hotel/motel with voucher, Safe Haven, jail, other, unknown)	HMIS APR*	5	5	5	5		0-3%	4-8%	9-12%	13-16%	17% or more
CES and SO Programs Clients are entering the program from unsheltered or emergency shelter situations. (APR #15)						20	96% and greater	91% to 95%	81-90%	80%-76%	Less than 75%
DV CE and Youth CE Programs - clients enter the program from unsheltered or emergency shelter situations or are actively fleeing domestic violence.						20	96% and greater	91% to 95%	81-90%	80%-76%	Less than 75%
<b>4 Employment and Income Growth</b>											
<b>a. Increase in Total Income at Exit or Reassessment (Stayers and Leavers)</b>											
PSH and RRH Bridge = clients who increase income at reassessment or exit	HMIS APR*	5					60% +	55 - 59%	45 - 54%	35 - 44%	Below 35%
TH and SH Programs = clients who increase any income at reassessment or exit				10	5		30% +	25 - 29%	20-24%	15-19%	Below 15%
RRH Programs = clients who increase income at reassessment or exit			10				60% +	55 - 59%	45 - 54%	35 - 44%	Below 35%
<b>b. Increase in Employment Income at Reassessment or Exit (Leavers and Stayers)</b>											
PSH and Bridge RRH = increase in employment income at reassessment or exit	HMIS APR*	5					20% +	15-19%	10-14%	5-9%	Below 5%
TH and SH = increase employment income at reassessment or exit				10	5		15% +	10-14%	5-9%	4 - 5%	Below 4%
RRH Programs =increase in employment income at reassessment or exit			10				30% +	25-29%	20-24%	11 - 19%	Below 10%
<b>5 Permanent Housing Placement/Retention</b>											
PSH = clients housed at end of year or exit to housing (leavers & stayers)	HMIS APR*	25	15				93% +	89 - 92%	85 - 89%	81 - 84%	Below 81%
TH/SH/RRH Programs = % of leavers who exit to perm hsg				15	20		90% +	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	below 75%

CES/SO Programs = % of leavers who exit to permanent housing					25	50% +	40-49%	30-39%	20-29%	below 20%		
<b>PROJECT PERFORMANCE - Performance Measures</b>												
<b>6 Mainstream Resources</b>												
a.	PSH/SH - Clients have Medicaid or other insurance within 3 months of program entry	HMIS Report*	10			10		100%	95%-99%	90-94%	85-89%	Less than 85%
	RRH/TH - Clients have Medicaid or other insurance within 3 months of entry			5	5			100%	95%-99%	90-94%	85-89%	Less than 85%
	CES/SO - Clients have Medicaid or other insurance within 3 months of entry					5		51%+	41% - 50%	31% to 40%	21% to 30%	Less than 20%
b.	PSH and RRH Bridge - Clients exit with non-cash resources	HMIS APR*	5			5		60% +	55-59%	50-54%	45-49%	below 45%
	Family and Youth RRH/ TH - Clients exit with non-cash resources			5	5			70%+	65-69%	60-64%	55-59%	less than 55%
	CES/SO Programs - Clients exit with non-cash resources					5		50% +	45-49%	40-44%	35-39%	less than 35%
<b>7 CoC Participation</b>												
a.	SPPA Meeting attendance (all program types) as reflected by meeting rosters.	Sign-in Sheets	3	3	3	3	3	11-12 months	8-10 months	5-7 months	2-4 months	0-1 months
b.	SPPA Dues paid (all program types) as reflected by financial records maintained by CoC.	CoC Records	5	5	5	5	5	Paid before June 30		Paid After June 30		No Payment Received
<b>8 HMIS Data Quality</b>												
a.	HMIS Data Quality - Based on HUD required data elements, APR data elements, through the Data Quality Report Card report.	HMIS Report*	10	10	10	10	10	Avg. score of 4.5 +	Avg. score 3.5 to 4.49	Avg. score 2.5 to 3.49	Avg. score 1.5 to 2.49	Avg. score below 1.5
b.	HMIS Data Quality (Timeliness) - % entry/exits entered less than 7 days after occurrence		5	5	5	5		90% or more	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	Less than 75%
c.	CES/SO Data Quality Timeliness - % entry/exits entered less than 7 days after occurrence						5	75% or more	60-75%	45-59%	30-45%	Below 30%
<b>9 Serving Priority Populations: Chronically Homeless, Veterans, Families with Children, Youth.</b>												
a.	PSH/RRH/TH - At least 90% of project participants are a priority population: chronically homeless, veterans, families, youth.	HMIS APR*	15	15	15	15		90% or more	80-90%	70-80%	60-70%	Less than 60%
	CES/SO - at least 50% of clients served are a priority population						15	Over 50%	40-50%	30-40%	20-30%	Less than 20%
	DV Projects - at least 50% served are a priority population							Over 50%	40-50%	30-40%	20-30%	Less than 20%
<b>10 Addressing Racial Disparities</b>												
	Agency has taken measures to address racial disparities in their organization as demonstrated in project application to the CoC.	Application to CoC	1	1	1	1	1	5 measures	4 measures	3 measures	2 measures	1 measure
<b>11 Increasing Safety for Victim of Domestic Violence</b>												
	All Project Types: Agency staff have attended CoC mandatory training in the previous 12 months about trauma informed care and serving survivors of domestic violence. Agency has demonstrated in CoC desk review policies to serve survivors and those fleeing domestic violence at program entry (1 point) and client rights regarding requesting a transfer or rehousing for safety.	Application to CoC	1	1	1	1	1	3 points	2 points	1 point		Did not attend training & no policies submitted.
<b>12 Deploying Additional Resources and Efforts to Improve Homeless Systems Performance:</b>												
	Up to 1 point (not weighted) for project sponsor plans in the coming 12 months, using either new resources or existing resources that are not already being used as CoC match, to improve our community's homeless system performance.	Application to CoC	2	2	2	2	2	Score not weighted.				
<b>MAXIMUM TOTAL POSSIBLE SCORE:</b>			<b>552</b>	<b>552</b>	<b>552</b>	<b>552</b>	<b>552</b>					

\* Projects serving survivors of domestic violence will be evaluated on summary data provided from an HMIS comparable database.