

2021 Performance Analysis Scoring Key Renewal CoC Projects

Indicators		Source of Data	Scoring				
PROJECT PERFORMANCE - Project Contribution to System Performance (Unless otherwise indicated, performance for the time period July 1, 2019 - June 30, 2021). DV provider data from an HMIS comparable database.							
1 Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless (programs with no openings receive middle score)			40	30	20	10	0
	PSH/RRH households obtain housing an average of 30 days from program entry. (average start date to move-in date APR #22c) Includes RRH for families and youth.	MasterList	30 days or less	31 - 60 days	60-90 days	90-120 days	More than 120 days
	SH exits to permanent housing destination an average of 90 days from program entry. (APR 22c)		90 days or less	91-120 days	121-150 days	151-180 days	More than 180 days
	TH households exit to permanent housing destination in an average of 30 days from program entry. (APR22c)		30 days or less	31 - 45 days	46 - 60 days	61 - 90 days	More than 90 days
	CES and SO Programs (APR #22a1)(CE length of participation less than 90 days/ SO length of participation less than 180 days) (leavers and stayers)		90 days or less	91 - 120 days	121-180 days	181-360 days	More than 360 days
2 Returns to Homelessness - Of exits to PH between 7/1//2017 - 06/30/2019, the % returning to homelessness within 24 months.			25	20	15	10	5
	PSH Programs	HMIS from ViaLink Report	12% or less	13-18%	19-23%	24-28%	29% or more
	RRH/TH/SH Programs		10% or less	10-14%	15-19%	20-24%	25% or more
3 Reducing the Total Number of Homeless Persons			25	20	15	10	5
	PSH Programs - 100% or greater utilization at quarterly measurements on APR.	HMIS APR*	Over 100% at 4 points.	Over 100% at 1-3 points	Over 90% at 4 points	Over 90% 1-3 points	Below 90% at all points
	RRH/TH/SH Programs % Exits to homeless situations. (APR 23 exits to ES, Place not meant for habitation, hotel/motel with voucher, Safe Haven, jail, other, unknown)		0-4%	5-9%	10-14%	15-19%	20% or more
	CES and SO Programs - Clients who exit to temporary or permanent housing situations (APR 23)		100	80	60	40	20
			90% and greater	80-89%	70-79%	60-69%	50-59%
4 Employment and Income Growth							
Increase in Total Income at Exit or Reassessment (Stayers & Leavers)			50	40	30	20	10
	PSH and RRH Bridge = clients who increase income at reassessment or exit	HMIS APR* (19a1)	60% +	55 - 59%	45 - 54%	35 - 44%	Below 35%
	TH/SH/RRH for Families and Youth Programs = clients who increase any income at reassessment or exit		30% +	25 - 29%	20-24%	15-19%	Below 15%
	RRH Programs = clients who increase income at reassessment or exit		60% +	55 - 59%	45 - 54%	35 - 44%	Below 35%
5 Permanent Housing Placement/Retention			100	75	50	25	0
	PSH = clients housed at end of year or exit to housing (leavers & stayers)	APR	95% +	90-94%	85 - 89%	80 - 84%	Below 80%
	TH/SH/RRH Programs = % of leavers who exit to perm hsg		95% +	90-94%	85 - 89%	80 - 84%	Below 80%
	CES/SO Programs = % of all participants who exit to permanent housing		50% +	40-49%	30-39%	20-29%	below 20%
PROJECT PERFORMANCE - Performance Measures							
6 Mainstream Resources			50	40	30	20	10
a.	PSH/RRH/TH/SH - Clients have Medicaid or other insurance within 3 months of entry	HMIS Report*	100%	95%-99%	90-94%	85-89%	Less than 85%
	CES/SO - Clients have Medicaid or other insurance within 3 months of entry		51%+	41% - 50%	31% to 40%	21% to 30%	Less than 20%
b.	Clients exit with non-cash resources		20	15	10	5	0
	PSH and Bridge RRH- Clients have obtained non-cash resources at reassessment or exit	HMIS APR*	60% +	55-59%	50-54%	45-49%	below 45%
	Family and Youth RRH/ TH - Clients have obtained non-cash resources at reassessment or exit		60% +	55-59%	50-54%	45-49%	below 45%
CES/SO/ SH Programs - Clients have obtained non-cash resources at reassessment or exit.	40% +		35-39%	30-34%	25-29%	less than 25%	
7 CoC Participation			15	9	6	3	0
a.	SPPA Meeting attendance (all program types) as reflected by meeting rosters.	Sign-in Sheets	11-12 months	8-10 months	5-7 months	2-4 months	0-1 months
	b.	SPPA Dues paid (all program types) as reflected by financial records maintained by CoC.	CoC Records	15	10	5	0
			Paid before June 30		Paid After June 30		No Payment Received
8 HMIS Data Quality - or data quality for DV providers using a comparable database			20	15	10	5	0
a.	PSH/RRH/TH/SH - HMIS Data Quality - HUD required data elements and APR data elements have less than 3% error/missing rate. (APR 6a Overall, 6b, 6c)	HMIS Report* (APR 6c)	All sections less than 3%	3.1-5%	5.1-7%	7.1-9%	Greater than 9%
	CE and SO - HMIS Data Quality - HUD required data elements and APR data elements have less than 5% error/missing rate. (APR 6a Overall, 6b)		All sections less than 5%	5.1-7%	7.1-9%	9.1-11%	Greater than 11%
HMIS Data Quality (Timeliness) -			25	20	15	10	5
b.	PSH/RRH/TH/SH - % entry/exits entered less than 7 days after occurrence		90% or more	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	Less than 75%
	CES/SO Data Quality Timeliness - % entry/exits entered less than 7 days after occurrence	75% or more	60-75%	45-59%	30-45%	Below 30%	

Indicators		Source of Data	Scoring				
9 Severity of Barriers of Participants and Serving Priority Populations.							
a. Severity of Barriers: Participants who are chronically homeless or have multiple disabling conditions.			30	20	10	5	0
b. Priority Populations: Chronically homeless, families with children, youth,	PSH/RRH/TH/SH - Participants who are chronically homeless or have more than one disabling condition.	HMIS APR*	90% or more	75-89%	50-74%	25-49%	Less than 25%
	CES/SO - at least 50% of clients have housing barriers		Over 50%	40-50%	30-40%	20-30%	Less than 20%
	PSH/RRH/TH/SH - Participants who are priority populations.		30	20	10	5	0
	CES/SO - at least 50% of clients served are a priority population		90% or more	75-89%	50-74%	25-49%	Less than 25%
			Over 50%	40-50%	30-40%	20-30%	Less than 20%
10 Addressing Racial Disparities							
a. Agency has 1) identified barriers, 2) has taken or 3) will take steps to address racial disparities in their organization as demonstrated in application to the CoC.		Application to CoC	3 actions	two of three	one of three		no submission
b. 1) Agency has submitted complete demographic information for Racial Equity Analysis regarding racial and ethnic composition of board, staff, and clients. People with lived experience are represented in membership of the 2) board and 3) staff.		Application to CoC	Information and representation in staff and board.	two of three	one of three		none
c. Demographics of clients in the program is proportional compared to all people experiencing homelessness in the CoC.		HMIS ViaLink	4	3	2	1	0
			Less than 20% white (non-Latino) participants	20-30%	30-40%	40-50% white	More than 50% white (non-Latino) participants
11 Increasing Safety for Victim of Domestic Violence							
Non-DV Projects - Program serves survivors, conducts safety planning, and follows VAWA policies of the CoC and HUD.		Application to CoC	7 affirmative responses	5 affirmative responses	3 affirmative responses		Less than 3 affirmative responses
DV Project - improved safety for participants through exits to safe and stable housing.			80% or more	70-79%	60-69%	50-59%	Less than 50%
12 Deploying Additional Resources							
PSH and RRH programs utilize Moving On, EHV or other housing resources to increase number of clients served in the program.		Application to CoC	Has utilized Moving On or EHV participants.		Commits to expansion within 6 months.		0
Program has MOU with employment program to improve employment outcomes for program participants. (CE/TH/RRH)			MOU with # clients already served.		MOU for upcoming year		
Programs use Health Care Resources (as demonstrated by MOU) to increase services and improve health outcomes for clients in the program.			MOU with # clients already served.		MOU for upcoming year		
13 Addressing COVID 19							
PSH/RRH/TH/SH - Vaccination Rate of participants.		HMIS*	10	8	6	4	2
CES and SSO Programs - vaccination rate of participants			70% or above	60-69%	50-59%	40-49%	30-39%
			21% or more	16-20%	11-15%	6-10%	0-5%
14 Cost Effectiveness							
CoC budget divided by # clients with a positive housing outcome. Median will be calculated for projects serving families, youth, and survivors.			5	4	3	2	1
			Less than 10% of median for project type and population	Within 10% of median for project type and population	11-20% more than median	21-30% of median for project type	Greater than 30% of median for project type and population.

* Projects serving survivors of domestic violence will be evaluated on summary data provided from an HMIS **comparable** database. Project sponsors with less than one year of performance will receive the median score. HMIS project(s) will receive the median score.